

IMPORTANT CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The documents accompanying this telecopy transmission contain confidential information belonging to the sender which is legally protected. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named below. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this telecopied information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (collect) to arrange for return of the telecopied document to us.

TO: Box AF - United States Patent and Trademark Office
Fax No. 571-273-8300

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAY 31 2006**

FROM: Cheryl L. Martin (Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate)

Fax No. 513-634-3499 Phone No. 513-634-1119

Application No.: 09/778,687

Inventor(s): Mark James Kline *et al.*

Filed: 7 February 2001

Docket No.: 8415

Confirmation No.: 5337

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET AND
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on May 31, 2006 to the above-identified facsimile number.

Cheryl L. Martin (Signature)

Listed below are the item(s) being submitted with this Certificate of Transmission:**

1) Reply to Final Office Action (8 pages)

Number of Pages Including this Page: 9

1 of 8

After Final Reply dated 31 May 2006

Reply under 37 CFR 1.116 – Expedited Procedure – Art Unit 3761

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 09/778,687

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTERInventors: Mark James Kline *et al.*

MAY 31 2006

Filed: 7 February 2001

Art Unit: 3761

Examiner: C. Lynne Anderson

Docket No.: 8415

Confirmation No.: 5337

Customer No.: 27752

Title: Active Change Aids for External ArticlesREPLY TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the Final Office Action mailed on 4 May 2006, further examination and reconsideration in view of the following remarks is hereby respectfully requested. In particular, the Examiner's attention is respectfully drawn to the fundamental errors explained below, which render the anticipation rejections untenable.

Remarks and arguments begin on page 2 of this paper.